Conference report:

Budget authority

Manda-

tory

12,713 12,712

Total

25,448 25,207

BUDGET SCORING OF THE CON-FERENCE AGREEMENT ON H.R.

• Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I rise in support of the conference agreement on H.R. 2378, the Treasury and general Government appropriations bill for fiscal year 1998.

This bill provides new budget authority of \$25.4 billion and new outlays of \$22.5 billion to finance operations of the Department of the Treasury, including the Internal Revenue Service, U.S. Customs Service, Bureau of Alcohol. Tobacco, and Firearms, and the Financial Management Service; as well

as the Executive Office of the President, the Office of Personnel Management, the General Services Administration, and other agencies that perform central Government functions.

I congratulate the chairman and ranking member for producing a bill that is within the subcommittee's 302(b) allocation and generally consistent with the bipartisan balanced budget agreement. I also commend the chairman for his strong support of law enforcement, including the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center.

When outlays from prior-year BA and other adjustments are taken into ac-

count, the bill totals \$25.4 billion in BA and \$25.2 billion in outlays. The total bill is \$2 million below the Senate subcommittee's 302(b) nondefense discretionary allocation for budget authority and outlays. The bill is at the subcommittee's violent crime trust fund allocation for BA and under its allocation for outlays by \$8 million.

Mr. President, I ask to have printed in the RECORD a table displaying the Budget Committee scoring of the conference agreement on H.R. 2378.

Non-

defense

12,604 12,377

Crime

The table follows:

Defense

H.R. 2378. TREASURY-POSTAL APPROPRIATIONS. 1998. SPENDING COMPARISONS—CONFERENCE REPORT [Fiscal Year 1998, \$ millions]

Budget authority	12,604 12,377	131 118	12,713 12,712	25,448 25,207
Senate 302(b) allocation:				
Budget authority	 12,606	131	12,713	25,450
Outlays	 12,379	126	12,712	25,217
President's request:				
Budget authority	 12,960	118	12,713	25,791
Outlays	 12,495	105	12,712	25,312
House-passed bill:				
Budget authority	 12,401	97	12,713	25,211
Outlays	 12,170	94	12,712	24,976
Senate-passed bill:				
Budget authority	 12,466	131	12,/13	25,310
Outlays	 12,268	112	12,712	25,092
Outlays	 12,268	112	12,712	25,092
	 12,268	112	12,712	25,092
CONFERENCE REPORT COMPARED TO:	12,268 - 2	112	12,712	-2
CONFERENCE REPORT COMPARED TO: Senate 302(b) allocation: Budget authority	 12,268 - 2 - 2		,	25,092 - 2 - 10
CONFERENCE REPORT COMPARED TO: Senate 302(b) allocation:	 -2			-2
CONFERENCE REPORT COMPARED TO: Senate 302(b) allocation: Budget authority Outlays President's request: Budget authority Budget authority	 -2 -2 -356			-2 -10 -343
CONFERENCE REPORT COMPARED TO: Senate 302(b) allocation: Budget authority Outlays President's request: Budget authority Outlays	 -2			-2
CONFERENCE REPORT COMPARED TO: Senate 302(b) allocation: Budget authority Outlays President's request: Budget authority Outlays House-passed bill:	 -2 -2 -356 -118			$ \begin{array}{r} -2 \\ -10 \\ -343 \\ -105 \end{array} $
CONFERENCE REPORT COMPARED TO: Senate 302(b) allocation: Budget authority Outlays President's request: Budget authority Outlays	 -2 -2 -356			-2 -10 -343 -105
CONFERENCE REPORT COMPARED TO: Senate 302(b) allocation: Budget authority Outlays President's request: Budget authority Outlays House-passed bill: Budget authority Outlays Outlays	 -2 -2 -356 -118			$ \begin{array}{r} -2 \\ -10 \\ -343 \\ -105 \end{array} $
CONFERENCE REPORT COMPARED TO: Senate 302(b) allocation: Budget authority Outlays President's request: Budget authority Outlays House-passed bill: Budget authority Outlays Senate-passed bill:	 -2 -2 -356 -118			-2 -10 -343 -105 237 231
CONFERENCE REPORT COMPARED TO: Senate 302(b) allocation: Budget authority Outlays President's request: Budget authority Outlays House-passed bill: Budget authority Outlays Outlays	-2 -2 -356 -118			-2 -10 -343 -105

Note: Details may not add to totals due to rounding. Totals adjusted for consistency with current scorekeeping conventions.

FOCUS:HOPE'S "WALK 1997"

• Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I rise today to pay homage to an organization which is working to help create a better America. On Sunday, October 12, Focus: HOPE will hold its annual walk. This walk raises awareness of the comneeds and reaffirms munity's Focus:HOPE's commitment to metropolitan Detroit.

This year has been very challenging for Focus:HOPE. The organization was struck by a terrible tragedy: the loss of Father Cunningham. His passing was a blow to not only the program he founded, but to the entire Detroit community. The dedication and vigor with which he pursued his goal of creating an environment where all people live in harmony was unsurpassed: his optimism and belief in Detroit was overwhelming; faced with the task before him, his spirit remained undaunted. Truly, he was one man who made a difference. This year Focus:HOPE's spirit was shaken and so too were its foundations. Earlier this year, storms swept through metropolitan Detroit, ravaging the area. A tornado sped through the city and left Focus: HOPE's facilities severely damaged in its wake.

Now, Focus: HOPE is rebuilding. Although a great part of Focus:HOPE is gone, Father Cunningham's vision lives

on. The many volunteers and supporters walking this year represent a renewed commitment. Sunday will be an occasion for the organization to rededicate itself to helping provide every needy individual with the means to succeed. Over the years, Focus:HOPE has flourished and grown into a shining example of what can be accomplished through dedication and hard work. As much as this occasion is a reflection on the past, it is more appropriately a time to contemplate what the future may hold. The 21st century is drawing near and Focus:HOPE'stands ready to meet all challenges head-on. I am confident this year's walk will inspire people to follow Father Cunningham's lead and help make the city of Detroit, the State of Michigan, and the entire Nation a better place.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA APPROPRIATIONS ACT

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, in view of the agreement and the effort that has been made by Senator MACK, Senator GRAMM of Texas, and Senator GRAHAM of Florida, they understand they have perhaps worked this issue out but they want to actually get it written up, and they will have it available tomorrow morning. So we believe we can com-

plete action on the District of Columbia appropriations bill tomorrow.

There will be no further votes this evening. The next vote will occur at approximately 12 noon on Wednesday. I vield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. Mr. President, there is in this country a need for campaign reform. Something could well be done but the approach from the Clinton-Gore administration is in no way a sincere effort. It is a gossamer facade at reform. In reality, it is nothing more than an attempt to divert peonle's attention from the flagrant abuses of the campaign finance laws already on the books-laws they have broken on a regular basis.

It takes absolute unmitigated gall for President Clinton and Vice President Gore to talk about campaign finance reform when they cannot and have not obeyed the existing laws. For the President and Vice President to be talking about campaign finance reform, it would be similar to Jesse James leading a crusade for stricter bank robbery laws. They both remind me of two people that have stolen your horses and go flying down the road by your house saying "lock your barn, lock your barn." They have gotten the horses and gone.

The truth is that this is a first step in a liberal effort to get the American taxpayer to pay for political campaigns. It would be a massive mistake to take the electoral process away from the private sector and turn it into another Federal Government bureaucracy. And that is exactly what this bill would do. What they are hoping to do is to make campaigns a public bureaucracy paid for by the taxpayers whether they want to or not. When they talk about campaign finance reform, there is one thing they have in mind: Getting their hands into the public till to pay for their political campaigns.

If you want to see how well public financing works, you can just look at the 1996 Presidential campaign. Have you ever seen a more flagrant disregard for the laws of this country than happened in that? President Clinton and Vice President Gore didn't need to raise money from Buddhist monks, Asian temples, international arms dealers, and the Chinese Government because their general election campaign was already paid for by the American taxpayers. But, no, they were so greedy they had to go everywhere and hunt every illegal contribution they could find.

They wonder why fewer and fewer Americans are checking off the contribution box for the President's election fund on their tax forms. Why check it off? Simply make a trip to the Buddhist temple and fund your own campaign.

The private sector works. If people want to support a candidate with their contributions, they will. But don't force the taxpayers to fund the ideological campaigns of candidates they don't support. And that is exactly where we are heading.

Mr. President, as I said, there is a need for campaign finance reform. I have supported several specific items which I believe could help bring some balance to the system. Indexing contributions would be one. Another is to make sure that workers have an option whether they want to support the union political activities or not. One of the most important things is to protect their paycheck. We need faster and fuller disclosure of contributions, and if we really wanted to do something for campaign finance reform we could go with term limits, if we were really serious about controlling it.

Most importantly, politicians should start obeying the campaign finance laws that are already on the books. Why should we start passing more reform laws when in the past campaign we saw the President and the Vice President break the ones that were there day in and day out. And I am tired of the "everybody did it" excuse that we are hearing out of this administration. Even if that were true, it is time for the President to muster the intestinal fortitude on his own and stop doing it. There is no excuse for him to do it because somebody—they were saying this morning in the hearing—20 years ago did it. He should be responsible for himself.

It is already illegal to accept contributions from foreign countries. Why do we not enforce the existing law rather than going for new ones? Mr. President, we need real campaign finance reform but spare us the moral outrage coming from President Clinton and Vice President Gore. We have enough cynicism in politics as it is now. It is time to end it. It is time for the President and Vice President to take responsibility for their personal actions.

Mr. President, I thank you and I yield the floor.

Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. SMITH of Oregon). Without objection, it is so ordered.

ORDERS FOR WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 8, 1997

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business for the day, it stand in adjournment until the hour of 11 a.m. on Wednesday, October 8. I further ask that on Wednesday, immediately following the morning prayer, the routine requests through the morning hour be granted and the hour prior to the cloture vote on S. 25 be equally divided in the usual form and the mandatory quorum under rule XXII be waived.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PROGRAM

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. Tomorrow, at 11 a.m., the Senate will begin debate on the motion to invoke cloture on S. 25, the McCain-Feingold finance reform bill. Therefore, the cloture vote will occur at 12 noon tomorrow with the mandatory quorum being waived. Assuming cloture is not invoked, the Senate will then move to proceed to S. 1173, the so-called ISTEA legislation. Hopefully, the Senate will be able to make good progress on that legislation with votes occurring Wednesday afternoon on the ISTEA bill. As previously announced, the Senate may also consider any appropriate conference reports that may be available.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 11 A.M. TOMORROW

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I now ask unanimous consent the Senate stand in adjournment under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 5:08 p.m., adjourned until Wednesday, October 8, 1997, at 11 a.m.

NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate October 7, 1997:

IN THE COAST GUARD

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE U.S. COAST GUARD UDNER TITLE 14 UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 271:

To be lieutenant commander

THOMAS FLORA, 0000

ALFREDO T. SORIANO, 0000 WILLIAM E. THOMPSON, ALLEN B. CLEVELAND, 0000 TIMOTHY M. FITZPATRICK, 0000 MICHAEL J. KELLY, 0000 PETER W. SEAMAN, 0000 WILLIAM P. GREEN, 0000 JOHN R. TURLEY, 0000 MARKUS D. DAUSSES, 0000 JOHN L. BRAGAW, 0000 GLENN L. GEBELLE, 0000 MICHAEL S. SABELLICO, 0000 LAURA H. O'HARE, 0000 SUSAN K. VUKOVICH, 0000 CRAIG O. FOWLER, 0000 DANIEL S. CRAMER. 0000 JOHN J. METCALF, 0000 STEVEN J. REYNOLDS, 0000 SEAN M. MAHONEY, 0000 KEVIN J. MCKENNA, 0000 CHRISTOPHER E. ALEXANDER, 0000 JAMES W. SEBASTIAN, 0000 HAN KIM, 0000 PHYLLIS E. BLANTON, 0000 ANDREW C. PALMIOTTO, 0000 MATTHEW K. CREELMAN, 0000 CALEB CORSON, 0000 MARCH H. NGUYEN, 0000 CYNTHIA L. STOWE, 0000 CHARLES JENNINGS, 0000 MARY J. SOHLBERG, 0000 JOHN F. MALONEY, 0000 CRAIG T. HOSKINS, 0000 JAMES P. MCLEOD, 0000 BAYMOND D. HUNT. 0000 KENNETH V. FORDHAM, 0000 JON S. KELLAMS, 0000 KEITH M. SMITH, 0000 DONNA L. COTTRELL, 0000 JAMES W. CROWE, 0000 PETER D. CONLEY, 0000 KELLY L. KACHELE, 0000 SCOTT A. BUTTRICK, 0000 JANET R. FLOREY, 0000 MELISSA A. BULKLEY, 0000 JAMES H. WHITEHEAD, 0000 WILLIAM R. KELLY, 0000 JASON LYUKE, 0000 JOHN M. DANAHER, 0000 JOHN E. BORIS, 0000 MARK D. BERKELEY, 0000 RICHARD A. SANDOVAL, 0000 CHARLES M. GREENE, 0000 BRIAN P. HALL, 0000
ERIC P. CHRISTENSEN, 0000
RONALD J. HAAS, 0000
MARK D. WALLACE, 0000 MATTHEW C. STANLEY, 0000 FRANK G. DELEON, 0000 ROD D. LUBASKY, 0000 DARCY D. GUYANT, 0000 PERRY S. HUEY, 0000 DONALD F. POTTER, 0000 KEVIN M. BALDERSON, 0000 PATRICK FLYNN, 0000 WAYNE A. STACEY, 0000 PATRICK G. MCLAUGHLIN, 0000